

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/802,378	0	3/17/2004	David T. Krick	111548-136408 3802	
25943	7590	03/02/2005	•	EXAM	INER
SCHWABE	, WILLIA	AMSON & WYAT	JOYCE, HAROLD		
		SUITES 1600-1900			
	1211 SW FIFTH AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PORTLAND OR 97204				2710	

DATE MAILED: 03/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/802,378	KRICK ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Harold Joyce	3749					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a			address				
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statt Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however apply within the statutory mining will apply and will expire Soute, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be considered tin IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03	January 2005.						
·_ ·	<u> </u>						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23,25 and 26 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23,25 and 26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from considera						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	=						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ents have been receints have been receints have been receiniority documents had eau (PCT Rule 17.2)	ved. ved in Application No ve been received in this Nation a)).	al Stage				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/O Paper No(s)/Mail Date)8) 5) <u> </u>	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (F Other:	PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/802,378 Page 2

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-23, 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Hampel or Rapisarda et al. Hampel or Rapisarda et al. discloses the claimed invention except for the claimed parameters. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to for the grate of Hampel or Rapisarda et al. to cover no more than 40% of the spanned area, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233. Note, it is noted that under section 103, not only are the teachings of the prior art taken into consideration, but also the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art. *In re Luck*, 177 USPQ 523 (CCPA 1973). That is the say, it is not invention to design the opening of the grate so that a particular size object will not fall through it.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed January 3, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not germane to the limitations of his claims. As far as the "adapted to" argument, "adapted to ..." is functional language which recites no structure and accordingly can-

Application/Control Number: 10/802,378 Page 3

Art Unit: 3749

not serve to patentably distinguish. Moreover, the terms such as; pieces to cover no more than 40% of a spanned area, meet a device air flow requirement, fall though limitation, spill protection requirement, etc. are nebulous terms having no positive boundaries and therefore structure.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harold Joyce whose telephone number is (571) 272-4876. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on (571) 272-4877. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/802,378

Art Unit: 3749

Harold Joyce Primary Examiner Art Unit 3749